UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

15-cr-386-3 (JGK)

- against -

ORDER

FRANK JENKINS, JR.,

Defendant.

## JOHN G. KOELTL, District Judge:

The defendant, Frank Jenkins, Jr., filed a request for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 488), which the Court directed the Government to respond to (ECF No. 489). The Government responded by explaining why the defendant's underlying request for a sentence reduction had no merit (ECF No. 493). The defendant replied by making it clear that the defendant only sought the appointment of counsel. (A copy of that reply is attached.)

An important consideration in the appointment of counsel is whether the plaintiff has a claim that is likely to have merit.

See Cooper v. A. Sargenti Co., 877 F.2d 170, 172 (2d Cir. 1989);

Hodge v. Police Officers, 802 F.2d 58, 60-61 (2d Cir. 1986).

Because the plaintiff has failed to show that he has a claim that has likely merit, his application for appointment of counsel is denied. Because the defendant has made it clear that he has not filed an actual motion for a reduction of sentence, the Court does not decide such a motion.

The Clerk is directed to close ECF No. 488.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: New York, New York

May 27, 2025

John G. Koeltl

United States District Judge

## Dear Judge Koelt1:

Case No: 15 Cr. 386 (JGK)

Reg 10052-082

Im writing to the fact the Government is Writing a fales tetter to you saying I put a Pro se motion for a tentence reduction.

My Motion was to be appointed consule to help me with my reduction because I do not understand and I need help. Please and thank you.

Frank Jenkins J.R